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Clinical protocol vs Clinical guideline

- **Clinical protocols** are rigid statements allowing little or no flexibility or variation. A protocol sets out a precise sequence of activities to be adhered to in the management of a specific clinical condition.
  - Ground truth
  - Safety measures
  - Static
  - Apply only in clinicians

- **Clinical practice guidelines** or CPG’s are systematically developed statements designed to help practitioners and patients decide on appropriate healthcare for specific
  - Recommendations
  - Educational Material
  - Always evolving
  - Flexible
Our goal: semantic protocol description

- **Protocol creation and adaptation speed up**
  - Clinicians can provide immediate feedback on protocol applications and variations
  - Health organizations leverages protocol recommendations to establish new protocols

- **Decision support systems (DSS) can take advantage of Electronic protocol database and metadata**
  - Quick and effective response in health crisis when no specialized clinicians are available at that time
  - Easier CP merging to address patient comorbidities thanks to protocol metadata description
Clinical Protocol ontologies

- **DILEMMA Generic Protocol Model (DGPM)**
  - Introduces protocol model and inheritance
  - Cannot fit to modern health care patterns

- **Core Clinical Protocol Ontology (C2PO)**
  - Introduces protocol recommendations
  - Developed in OWL using modern tools

- **SEMPATH and Clinical Pathway Ontology (CPO)**
  - Internal modelling of CP on rules and validation software
Our contribution

- Create a 2-layer social network of clinical protocols and clinicians
  - Native protocol recommendations
  - Comments and protocol promotions
  - Easier conversion from guidelines to protocols

- Introduce protocol evolution
  - Through forking process
  - Use adaptive protocols in smaller regions
Results and implementation

- Ontology engineering
  - Developed in OWL, using Protégé
  - Model properties with mappings to medical standards
  - Linked Data ready using Bioportal

- Commercial software integration
  - Visionware LIMS

- Entity - Relations Diagram
  - Organized by 5 main entities
  - Using 8 core entity relations
Thank you!

Any Questions?
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